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**Highlights**

- Climate change-driven impacts will likely pose significant risks to endangered species. 
- We show how ecological niche modeling under climate change can inform the conservation of *Q. acerifolia*. 
- We predict suitable habitat for *Q. acerifolia* in 20 counties (Arkansas and Oklahoma) including four currently known locations in Arkansas. 
- Bioclimatic and topographic variables were identified as influential factors that affect the distribution of *Q. acerifolia*. 
- This study provides important information on the requirements and suitable habitats that will facilitate future restoration efforts, with the goal of increasing population sizes of *Q. acerifolia* across its range.

**Abstract**

The Maple-leaf oak, *Quercus acerifolia* (E.J.Palmer) Stoynoft & Hess, is listed as Critically Imperiled by the State of Arkansas and considered endangered in the IUCN Red List of Threatened Oak Species. It is endemic to the interior highlands of the Ouachita Mountains in west-central Arkansas, where it is reported to occur in only four isolated locations. No specific research exists regarding predicted climate change impacts on the *Q. acerifolia*, but given its small range and habitat specificity, such climate change-driven impacts will likely pose significant risks to remaining populations. We used an ensemble species distribution modeling (SDM) approach to predict climatically suitable habitat for *Q. acerifolia* within its native range. We investigate how future changes in climate may impact habitat suitability. Currently, the estimated area of climatically suitable habitat area for *Q. acerifolia* is 2,523 km$^2$. By 2050, the predicted climatically suitable habitat area is 749 km$^2$, a 70% reduction in habitat extent. By 2100, the model ensemble predicts a suitable habitat of only 285 km$^2$ or an 89% loss of present suitable habitat. The model ensemble also predicted climatically suitable habitat area in 20 counties (14 in Arkansas and six in Oklahoma), including the currently known four locations in Arkansas. Although *Q. acerifolia* is rare and is at risk of extinction due to potential climate-change driven habitat reduction, the SDM ensemble identified several new habitat areas for the species. New habitat information can be used to search for existing *Q. acerifolia* populations or guide reintroduction efforts, leading to enhanced focus on long-term management, conservation, and restoration of this critically-imperiled species.
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Introduction

Oaks (Quercus spp.) are keystone species found across a wide range of ecosystems worldwide, including oak-pine forests in North America. They are ecologically valuable because they support critical ecosystem functions, such as hosting unique soil fungal communities which contribute to forest carbon cycling dynamics (Phillips et al. 2013), as well as provide food and habitat for many species of animals (Jerome et al. 2017). Due to the broad range of habitat types and climates found across the continental United States, oak species significantly contribute to North American forest species diversity. Out of 91 native U.S. oaks, 28 species are of conservation concern, including some that are critically imperiled (Beckman et al. 2019). One such species is Maple-leaf oak, Quercus acerifolia (E.J.Palmer) Stoynoff & Hess, which is endemic to the interior highlands of the Ouachita and Boston Mountains region in west-central Arkansas. Q. acerifolia is distributed in only four populations across this area (Fig. 1, Ogle et al. 2020). The species is at risk of extinction, as the total known population size is believed to be fewer than 600 individuals, with just a few individuals per site. It is listed as both Critically Imperiled by the State of Arkansas and as Endangered, per the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (Beckman et al. 2019).

Despite existing conservation efforts (e.g., ex-situ conservation at the Cincinnati Zoo, U.S. National Arboretum, Morton Arboretum and Missouri Botanical Garden, an enhanced focus on establishing new protected areas, and sponsoring education and awareness programs for this species is ongoing), Q. acerifolia is facing conservation challenges due to small population sizes, unknown habitat preferences, diseases, insect pests and climate change (Larson 2017, Beckman et al. 2019). The inadequacy of currently available habitat is a challenge for any species of conservation concern, and a better understanding of habitat preferences for imperiled species is an important first step in developing active management strategies for existing populations and highlighting possible new interactions of the species within natural forests (Scott et al. 1993). Although a few studies have recently focused on the ex-situ conservation of Q. acerifolia in botanic gardens and arboreta (Larson 2017, Beckman et al. 2019), defining its current habitat range, extent of suitable habitat, and possible consequences of climate change in these areas are key to advancing future restoration efforts in natural forests.

The potential risk factors for Q. acerifolia population decline (e.g., drought, disturbance, insect and disease pressures) will likely intensify in the future, due to the impacts of climate change (McDowell et al. 2020). No specific research exists regarding predicted climate change impacts on Q. acerifolia, but its limited range and habitat specificity could pose significant challenges to recruitment and population stability within a changing climate (Beckman et al. 2019). According to Conservation Gap Analysis of North American Oaks, research related to ecological niche modeling under climate change should prioritize the conservation of Q. acerifolia. To shed light on this, we used species distribution models to predict suitable habitats for Q. acerifolia within its native range and investigated the impacts of different climate scenarios on future habitat suitability.

Species distribution models (SDMs) have become a well-accepted tool for understanding the environment of organisms, evaluating habitat quality, and directing management and conservation strategies (Guisan et al. 2017, Adhikari et al. 2022). SDMs define a species-environment relationship to explain and predict the habitat extent of a species’ potential distribution (Guisan et al. 2013). A set of scenarios (low, intermediate, high, and extreme) were developed, known as shared socioeconomic pathways (SSPs).

Figure 1. Maple-leaf oak (Quercus acerifolia) herbarium specimen and its habitat in a Mount Magazine State Park (Arkansas). Photograph taken by Ryan Russell.
These scenarios are projections of anticipated worldwide socioeconomic trends through the year 2100 and are usually applied to create scenarios for greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) under various climate policies (Rogelj et al. 2018). By incorporating different climate change scenarios, SDMs are effective to predict current and future suitable habitats for species (Coetzee et al. 2009, Bladon et al. 2021, Sierra-Morales et al. 2021). Many recent SDM studies have used the “ensemble” SDM methodology, which incorporates predictions from multiple modeling techniques to make better and more accurate predictions (Meller et al. 2014, Hao et al. 2019, Ahmad et al. 2020). Furthermore, SDMs have the potential to contribute to conservation planning goals by augmenting knowledge of species distributions (Raymond et al. 2020) and predicting the impacts of climate change on species (Schwartz 2012, Foden and Young 2016). SDMs that predict future climate change events can help to prioritize both present and future biodiversity conservation efforts by identifying newly available habitats under changing climate (Bellard et al. 2012, Schwartz 2012).

Utilizing a reliable method of ensemble SDM, by incorporating the effects of topographic and climatic variables, could effectively address the gap in knowledge regarding the extent of distribution and conservation practices of endangered species. This study has two objectives: 1) to evaluate the current extent of *Q. acerifolia* distribution and the variables influencing it; and 2) ascertain how this endangered species’ range might shift under future climate change scenarios. We hypothesized that the distribution of *Q. acerifolia* is largely concentrated in its native area in Arkansas, and its distributional range should decline with climatic change.

**Materials and Methods**

Records of *Q. acerifolia* presence were acquired from both current populations and historical collections (herbarium specimens), from multiple herbaria via the Southeast Regional Network of Collections (Data Portal 2022), encompassing 130 herbarium records from 22 herbaria, and the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF.org 2022), representing 157 records. Any duplicate, cultivated, and questionable records (hybrids, or outside of their natural habitats) were removed. Overall, we compiled a database of 197 *Q. acerifolia* presence locations based on historical and current records between 1970-2000 to match bioclimatic data for the current climate (1970–2000) and geolocated them. We used the R package ‘SpThin’ (Aiello-Lammens et al. 2015) to spatially rarely the occurrence dataset to ensure that no two points were within a 1km x 1km grid. Therefore, we had no more than one presence at each grid cell (1 km²) to reduce spatial autocorrelation and avoid inflated measures of accuracy. One-kilometer buffers were placed around each occurrence point. This method also reduces sample bias and improves the predictive performance of the models (Boria et al. 2014).

In this study, suitable habitat for *Q. acerifolia* is defined as the combination of abiotic environmental variables at a site (topographic and bioclimatic variables) – often referred to as environmental suitability, i.e. environmental conditions needed for a species to grow and maintain its viable populations. Bioclimatic and topographic variables were used to predict the current and future suitable habitat extent for *Q. acerifolia* in Arkansas, and adjacent states (Kansas, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Missouri, Texas, Mississippi, and Louisiana), as well as other southeastern states (Alabama, Georgia, South Carolina, and North Carolina) because there are some unconfirmed reports of this species might present in Alabama, Georgia, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Tennessee (Ogle et al. 2020). Altogether, 22 environmental (i.e., 19 bioclimatic and three topographic) variables were considered (Table S1; https://www.worldclim.org/data/bioclim.html).

Environmental variables were checked for multi-collinearity, where we excluded those with correlation coefficients >0.7 and variance inflation factors (VIF) >5 (Dormann et al. 2013, Table S2, Fig. S1). Eleven variables were retained for further analysis (elevation, slope, aspect, mean diurnal temperature range, isothermality, mean temperature of wettest quarter, mean temperature of driest quarter, mean temperature of warmest quarter, precipitation of wettest month, precipitation seasonality, precipitation of warmest quarter). All variables were projected to WGS84 at a spatial resolution of 1 km². Nineteen bioclimatic variables for the current climate (1970–2000) and future (2050 and 2100) from WorldClim— Global Climate Data (https://www.worldclim.org/) were downloaded. It was evident that the topographic variables, elevation, aspect, and slope had a predictive influence on the habitat suitability of *Q. acerifolia* (Stoynoff and Hess 1990). While elevation may only indirectly influence plant physiology and may not be relevant to use in SDMs making climate change projections, SDMs for high-elevation plant species have shown that elevation is an essential predictor in future SDMs for mountain species (Oke and Thomson, 2015). The distribution of *Q. acerifolia* only occurs on between bluff outcappings (Rouw and Johnson, 1994), above 400m and mountain summits around 800-900 m elevation (Beckman et al. 2019). So, we have included elevation as a predictor in SDMs which make climate change projections for *Q. acerifolia*. Thus, model will predict a higher occurrence probability above the 400m elevation. The use of elevation with bioclimatic variables likely leads to more accurate SDMs for *Q. acerifolia*. We derived elevation data from the United States Geological Survey Digital Elevation Model (DEM) database, 3m resolution, (https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/). Slope and aspect data were calculated from the DEM with the help of ArcGIS software (esri.com).

As recent SDM studies have successfully achieved improved predictive accuracy by combining models generated from several algorithms (Hao et al. 2020, Adhikari et al. 2023), rather than a single algorithm in SDM (e.g., MAXENT), we used an ensemble modeling approach to develop the habitat suitability models.
We generated ensemble models based on nine algorithms: artificial neural network (ANN), classification tree analysis (CTA), flexible discriminant analysis (FDA), generalized additive model (GAM), generalized boosting model (GBM), generalized linear model (GLM), maximum entropy (MAXENT), random forest (RF), and surface range envelope (SRE) using the BIOMOD2 package (Thuiller et al. 2020) in R v4.0.0 (R Development Core Team 2020). *Quercus acerifolia* presence and pseudo-absence data were split into training (80%) and testing data sets (20%).

With the training dataset, we randomly generated 10,000 pseudo-absence points (within the current native range in state of Arkansas, outside of the one-kilometer buffer area placed around each occurrence point) as suggested by Barbet-Massin et al. (2012), where we assigned equal weight to the presence and pseudo-absence datasets and repeated the pseudo-absence generation three times to avoid random bias. This modeling approach, comprising nine algorithms, three pseudo-absence selection, and three evaluation runs resulted in a total of 81 model runs. Moreover, using pseudo-absence data for species distribution modelling for rare species such as *Q. acerifolia*, considering no absent data, is acceptable given the high probability that most selected pseudo-absences locations are likely to be true (Williams et al. 2009). The models were calibrated to generate habitat suitability maps. The area under curve (AUC) and True Skill Statistics (TSS) methods are widely used to evaluate predictive performance (Guisan et al. 2017). We used TSS (ranges from -1 to +1) as a model evaluation criterion. We selected all models having a TSS value >0.8 to build an ensemble model through a weighted mean approach (Marmion et al. 2009). We then generated model ensembles using the ‘ensemble modeling’ function in BIOMOD2.

After running the models using all the variables described above, the continuous habitat suitability map was converted to a suitable/unsuitable binary map. Pixels (1 × 1 km²) with probability values below the threshold were categorized as absences and pixels with probability values above the threshold were categorized as presences. We used a probability threshold of 0.8 and transferred into binary distributions. As a result, we calculated the total suitable area for *Q. acerifolia* as a sum of the area of the grid cells above the threshold from the projected current and future suitable habitat maps under different scenarios. Finally, we employed the ‘range size’ function within the BIOMOD2 package when calculating the range shifts for *Q. acerifolia* under different climate change scenarios. The resulting change in the species range map indicates the following, for each grid cell across multiple climate scenarios: no change—if the species is present in both current and future change predictions; decrease in range—if the species present in the current prediction but absent in future climate change predictions; and increase in species range—if the species is absent in current prediction but present in future prediction. For example, when a pixel with a presence of *Q. acerifolia* in the current binary map became an absence in the future binary map in which only climatic environmental factors changed, it was interpreted as indicating that habitat loss occurred for that pixel.

**Results**

**Model accuracy and important predictor variables**

The predictive performance of the model ensemble was excellent, yielding a TSS value of 0.99. Likewise, all algorithms had an average TSS value of >0.95 (Fig. S2). Similarly, the AUC value of the ensemble model was 0.99. Environmental variables contributed differently to the SDMs, but the variables that contributed the most to the model were precipitation of the wettest month (bio13, 37.79%), elevation (22.60%), slope (10.11%), and mean temperature of the driest quarter (bio9, 8.63%) (Fig. 2). Topographic factors (elevation and slope) contributed ~33% in the model, while the aspect contribution was almost negligible (Figs. 2A, 2B, 2C). Temperature-related variables—mean temperature of warmest quarter (Fig. 2D) and mean temperature of the driest quarter (Fig. 2K) contributed ~15% to the model (Fig. 2). The mean temperature of the driest quarter (bio9) contributed ~9% to our model, with habitat suitability inversely being related to increasing mean temperature of the driest quarter (Fig. 2K). Precipitation-related variables (precipitation of wettest month and precipitation seasonality) contributed ~45% to the model. Response curves showed that areas with ~150 mm of precipitation during the wettest month were suitable for *Q. acerifolia* (Fig. 2E).

**Suitable habitat**

A summary of suitable habitat areas for *Q. acerifolia* under current and future climate scenarios estimated by the model ensemble are presented in Table 1 and Figure 3. The estimated current suitable habitat for *Q. acerifolia* is 2523 km² (Fig. 3A). Among the 12 states, our model predicted that only two states (Arkansas and Oklahoma) have suitable habitat for *Q. acerifolia*; 14 counties (Polk [771 km²], Scott [183 km²], Washington [141 km²], Montgomery [116 km²], Crawford [65 km²], Sebastian [59 km²], Logan [56 km²], Yell [26 km²], Johnson [11 km²], Boone [5 km²], Franklin [3 km²], Garland [2 km²], Pike [1 km²], and Howard [1 km²]) have suitable habitat in Arkansas (total area of 1434 km²), and six counties- (Leflore [695 km²], Pushmataha [231 km²], McCurtain [105 km²], Latimer [53 km²], Adair [3 km²]) and Haskell [2 km²] have suitable habitat in Oklahoma (a total area of 1089 km²) (Fig. S3).

By 2050, the model predicts a suitable habitat of 749 km², a loss of 70.31% in current habitat under the SSP 2.45 climate scenario based on the predicted loss of 77.28% (1950 km²) of current suitable habitats and a gain of 6.97% (176 km²) new habitat (Table 1; Fig. S4). By 2100, we predicted a suitable habitat of 285 km² (88.70% loss of current suitable habitat) under the SSP 2.45 climate scenario based on the predicted loss of 90.60% (2286 km²) of current habitat and a gain of 1.90% (48 km²) new habitat (Table 1; Fig. S4).
Figure 2. Response curves for environmental variables for two represented models whereas the percentage of contribution provided for each environmental variables is the average contribution of all the models in habitat suitability prediction for *Q. acerifolia*, (A) aspect, (B) elevation (m), (C) slope (degree), (D) Mean Temperature of Warmest Quarter (bio10, °C), (E) Precipitation of Wettest Month (bio13, mm), (F) Precipitation Seasonality (Coefficient of Variation) (bio15, mm), (G) Precipitation of Warmest Quarter (bio18, mm), (H) Mean Diurnal Range (Mean of monthly (max temp - min temp)) (bio2, °C), (I) Isothermality (bio2/bio7) (×100) (bio3, °C), (J) Mean Temperature of Wettest Quarter (bio8, °C), and (K) Mean Temperature of the Driest Quarter (bio9, °C). Note: x-axes are respective units for variables as given in parenthesis.

Figure 3. Projected habitat changes for *Q. acerifolia* under climate change scenario by 2050 and 2100. Blue color represents suitable habitats predicted by a model of binary presence/absence of *Q. acerifolia* in Arkansas and Oklahoma based on the combined ensemble model of SDMs for a) current suitable habitat, b) by 2050, and c) by 2100. All pixels with suitability values lower than the threshold probability (0.8) were defined as absences and all pixels with suitability values higher than the optimal threshold were defined as presences. According to this binary presence/absence, approximately 2,523 sq. km of current suitable habitat, 749 sq. km by 2050 and 285 sq. km by 2100 were predicted. All the future climate change scenarios were predicted using SSP2-4.5 scenarios.
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Table 1. Projected habitat changes for Q. acerifolia under climate change scenario by 2050 and 2100 under the SSP 2.45 climate scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat size</th>
<th>Predicted for 2050</th>
<th>Predicted for 2100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loss (km)</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>2286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain (km)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss (%)</td>
<td>77.29%</td>
<td>90.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain (%)</td>
<td>6.98%</td>
<td>1.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species Range Change (%)</td>
<td>-70.31%</td>
<td>-88.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Range Size (km)</td>
<td>2523</td>
<td>2523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change current area (km)</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full habitat (km)</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Pixels (1 × 1 km²) with probability values below the threshold (TSS=0.8) were categorized as absences and pixels with probability values above the threshold were categorized as presences and transferred into binary distributions. Total suitable area as a sum of the area of the grid cells above the threshold from the projected current and future suitable habitat maps under different scenarios.

Discussion

Model accuracy and important predictor variables

Our results across all algorithms showed good SDM fit (TSS>0.95) – an SDM is considered good if it has a TSS value ≥ 0.9. (Allouche et al. 2006, Thuiller et al. 2009). However, in certain situations, it is reported that TSS values can be misleading where the number of true negatives is high by not penalizing overprediction and assigning higher values to species with smaller prevalence for identical discrimination accuracy (Leroy et al. 2018). We used presence-pseudoabsence data in species distribution modelling algorithms in this study. Although we tried to minimized sampling bias and autocorrelation by filtering (Aiello-Lammens et al. 2015, Boria et al. 2014, Kramer-schadt et al. 2013), taking pseudo-absences may still lead to overfit models due to the major underlying assumption of spatial representation (Dormann et al. 2007, Yackulic et al. 2013). Therefore, even selecting high performing models (TSS > 0.9), SDMs still can cause slight uncertainty (Thuiller et al. 2019).

Among the bioclimatic and topographic factors used in the model, distribution of Q. acerifolia is controlled mainly by precipitation of the wettest month, elevation, and slope, which contributed about 70% to the ensemble model habitat prediction, suggesting that these three variables in combination, effectively describe the most favorable growth environment for this species.Precipitation of the wettest month (typically April or May) was the most influential variable in describing the distribution of Q. acerifolia, which may limit its survival and long-term population persistence. It is implicit that long term population sustainability will be reliant on the recruitment of new seed-origin trees, which is the solitary means of regeneration for all the Quercus species.

Furthermore, during early spring, a certain amount of precipitation and warm temperature accumulation is also required to satisfy multiple regeneration-centric phases including completion of winter seed dormancy requirements, initiation of both vegetative bud development, and flower bud differentiation. In Missouri, successful flowering, pollination, fertilization, and subsequent acorn production in Quercus species was strongly influenced by warm spring temperatures and a lack of summer drought in the fruiting year (Sork et al. 1993). Similarly, rainfall in late winter and early spring is also important for seed germination, as acorns require cool, moist conditions, followed by warming temperatures to germinate.

Elevation is also an influencing factor in the model as the distribution of Q. acerifolia only occurs on mountain summits and along bluff lines (Rouw and Johnson 1994) above 400 masl. Topographic aspect did not contribute greatly to the projection of suitable habitat although, it has been reported that Q. acerifolia grows best on the north-facing bluffs of Magazine Mountain in Arkansas (Stoynoff and Hess 1990). Additionally, other studies have reported Q. acerifolia tends to establish and grow best on strong slopes along rocky rims (Stoynoff and Hess 1990). However, for species of the red oak subgenus, including Q. acerifolia, such harsh sites are also prone to the negative impacts of episodic drought and freeze events, as well as oak decline, that has been reported to cause significant mortality in the Ouachita mountains at least once every decade since the 1960’s (Haarvik et al. 2012). The effect of mean temperature during the driest quarter on the distribution of Q. acerifolia is mainly reflected by the balance of temperature and water (Schroth et al. 2016). In the driest quarter of the year (typically January, February, and March), when precipitation is low, temperature becomes an important factor reducing the growth of Q. acerifolia. This might be because Q. acerifolia occurs most often on xeric sites with thin and rocky soils that are likely to be associated with some degree to water stress. It prefers early successional woodland habitats, especially those with open canopies, dry, rocky ledges, steep slopes, and bluff lines (Beckman et al. 2019). Our model projected that a mean temperature of greater than 5°C during the driest quarter might not be suitable for Q. acerifolia distribution.
The mean diurnal range in temperature showed a negative relationship with *Q. acerifolia* distribution after diurnal temperatures exceed 12°C. High daily temperatures usually favor photosynthesis, while low night temperatures inhibit respiration, and thus greater diurnal temperature ranges favor tree growth (Liu et al. 2021). However, in the case of *Q. acerifolia*, if this range is too large or highly variable over time, it may limit *Q. acerifolia* distribution via physiological acclimation to temperature shifts. Higher precipitation seasonality had a positive effect on the habitat suitability of *Q. acerifolia*, likely because the species is unable to tolerate lower precipitation seasonality. This may be related to seasonal thermodiaperiodicity, which is the most important factor controlling growth, development, and flowering in drought-tolerant species (Khodorova and Boitel-Conti 2013). Therefore, high precipitation seasonality, i.e., heavy precipitation concentrated over a few months likely favors *Q. acerifolia* distribution.

**Current Suitable habitat**

The SDM approach applied here provides a realistic picture of the potential distribution of *Q. acerifolia* within its native range in Arkansas and neighboring states that can be used to guide future conservation strategies for this endemic and threatened species, which is known to occur in only four sites in west central Arkansas. Our results highlight that this species might exist in additional locations within Arkansas and neighboring state in Oklahoma. Speculating that this species might be present in other neighboring states, especially in eastern states, we expanded our projection to 12 states, but our results showed that current suitable habitat only exists in two states Arkansas and Oklahoma but not in other states with high certainty (a probability value >0.8). The suitability map generated from our model effectively captured the current habitat of *Q. acerifolia* that was mainly concentrated in the western and northern highland areas of Arkansas and adjoining areas in Oklahoma. The known distribution of *Q. acerifolia* in Arkansas extends between 400 and 800 m elevation (Beckman et al. 2019), which is consistent with our findings.

While the species has been reported from only four counties in Arkansas, our model predicted that it could potentially occur in a total of 14 counties in Arkansas, plus six counties in Oklahoma. Seven of those 20 counties have more than 100 km² of suitable habitat (Polk County in Arkansas has the highest, 771 km²), four counties have between 50-100 km² of suitable habitat, and nine counties have 1-22 km² including currently known locations at four counties: Magazine Mountain (Logan County, AR), Porter Mountain (Polk County, AR), Pryor Mountain (Montgomery County, AR), and Sugarloaf Mountain (Sebastian County, AR). More importantly, the model predicted suitable habitats in the neighboring state of Oklahoma in six counties. As efforts have been carried out to locate additional populations of this species within both Arkansas and neighboring states, findings from this study may be helpful to locating other populations in the area, which are not easily explored, since all such areas are in rugged, mountainous terrain.

**Impact of climate change on the distribution**

Due to climate change, locally and regionally endemic species are likely to be lost (Deb et al. 2017, Ayebare et al. 2018, Subedi et al. 2022). The models used in this study demonstrate that the spatial extent of suitable climate available for *Q. acerifolia* is expected to shift northward and westward geographically over time, because of changes in precipitation and temperature. Such climatic changes may also indirectly affect animal (e.g., squirrel) and microbial (e.g., fungal) species associated with *Q. acerifolia*. Moreover, these changes may also have adverse effects on terrestrial insects, mammals, and birds that are indirectly or directly dependent on the seeds, fruits, and flowers from *Q. acerifolia*.

Suitable habitat ranges of many terrestrial species have shifted toward higher elevations in response to changing climate (Chen et al. 2011, Feeley et al. 2013, Kunwar et al. 2023). However, current *Q. acerifolia* habitat suitability is restricted to elevations above 400 masl. Elevation and slope contributed substantially to the model, as this species is mainly present around mountain summits and exposed bluffs. The future distribution scenario for 2050 and 2100 for *Q. acerifolia* is concerning (70 - 90% current habitat is predicted to be lost), suggesting a significant loss suitable habitat niche space for this species. Therefore, it is important to formulate the conservation planning for the long-term survival of this endangered species against climate change.

**Implications for conservation planning**

As detected by our models, the potential, suitable habitat of *Q. acerifolia* significantly decreased and shifted under future climate scenarios. The planting of *Q. acerifolia*, as part of a robust restoration program, into such habitats may serve as a species preservation strategy in the presence of future climate change (Deb et al. 2017). Additionally, our results can be utilized for categorizing known *Q. acerifolia* natural habitats based on climate change risk, hopefully leading to better-informed conservation planning efforts in the future. For example, the *Q. acerifolia* plantings could be targeted preferentially into those climatically appropriate areas; in addition, greater effort should be taken to conserve the natural regeneration among currently occupied high-risk areas, to potentially mitigate the impacts of future climate change. Such stable habitats can then serve as refugia in the presence of future climate change, to provide a vital resource for long-term conservation of *Q. acerifolia* both *ex situ* and *in situ*.

Information acquired from this study, which defines both predicted and current suitable habitats should also guide future exploration efforts to identify presently unknown *Q. acerifolia* populations. If such a *Q. acerifolia* population lies on privately owned land where no protective status or conservation agreement exists, the inclusion of these areas in conservation or management plans will be helpful to conserve such populations. Considering its extensive fragmentation of limited suitable habitat, low dispersal ability, and threats posed by climate change, disease, plus other anthropogenic
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causes to their remaining populations, *Q. acerifolia* is currently facing a high risk of extinction. To reduce this risk, one option for managers to consider would be to identify suitable habitats and target them for planting to establish new, viable populations of these species.

All four known subpopulations of *Q. acerifolia* are now protected, Sugarloaf Mountians-Midland Peak Natural Area, Mount Magazine State Park, and the Ouachita National Forest. These populations are unlikely to be threatened by habitat loss or other anthropogenic causes. More importantly, observations from natural populations reported a high degree of natural regeneration (Wu 2020). However, other subpopulations or suitable habitats have likely been diminished because of human disturbances. Searching for new populations or new suitable habitats on privately owned lands, if any, and bringing them under the management is very important for the conservation of *Q. acerifolia*. Otherwise, such privately owned land is vulnerable to development by future landowners. Additionally, anthropogenic activity has suppressed the natural fire regime in most of these subpopulations resulting in a decline of the early successional open-canopy woodlands where *Q. acerifolia* thrives. A comparative analysis of potential species distribution under current climate conditions and the realized species distribution could be coupled with data on anthropogenic activities to provide information about previously occupied areas to help guide species restoration efforts.

In conclusion, this study provides important information on the environmental conditions and suitable habitat areas that can guide future conservation and restoration efforts, with the goal of increasing populations of *Q. acerifolia* across its range. New habitat information obtained in this study can be used to support management, conservation, and, if needed, future restoration programs. While presently listed as endangered in the IUCN Red List and its critically imperiled status by the State of Arkansas, if future revisions of this status are warranted, the predicted potential distribution map reported here may help in this effort.
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